1	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General	
2	ERIN L. ALBRIGHT, Bar No. 9953 Deputy Attorney General	
3	State of Nevada Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1257	
4		
5		
6	E-mail: ealbright@ag.nv.gov	
7	Attorneys for Defendants Harold Byrne, Danny Holland, Donald Southworth	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11		
12	RICKIE L. HILL,	O No. 2-16 ON 00644 NO.0D VPC
13	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:16-CV-00644-MMD-VPC
14	vs.	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
15	TIMOTHY FILSON, et al.,	TO CORRECT
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants, Harold Byrne, Danny Holland and Donald Southworth, by and through counsel,	
18	Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Erin L. Albright, Deputy Attorney	
19	General, hereby moves for an enlargement of time to respond to plaintiff' motion to correct. (ECF No.27).	
20	This motion is based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities.	
21	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
22	I. RELEVANT FACTUAL BACKGROUND	
23	On April 12, 2018, plaintiff filed a motion to correct. (ECF No. 27).	
24	Defense counsel is on vacation and will not return to the office until May 1, 2018.	
25	<i>III</i>	
26	<i>III</i>	
27	<i>III</i>	
28	<i>III</i>	1

2

LEGAL AUTHORITY AND DISCUSSION II.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1) governs enlargements of time and provides as follows:

When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

The proper procedure, when additional time for any purpose is needed, is to present a request for extension of time before the time fixed has expired. Canup v. Mississippi Val. Barge Line Co., 31 F.R.D. 282 (W.D.Pa. 1962). Extensions of time may always be asked for, and usually are granted on a showing of good cause if timely made under subdivision (b)(1) of the Rule. Creedon v. Taubman, 8 F.R.D. 268 (N.D. Ohio 1947).

The time to respond, to answer or file a response to plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 27) has not expired. Defendants assert good cause exists to extend their time for their response to Plaintiff's objections because defense counsel is on vacation and will not return to the office until May 1, 2018. Therefore, Defendants request this court enlarge the time for their response to the motion (ECF No. 27) to May 11, 2018. This extension is not made for the purposes of delay or to prejudice Plaintiff.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully request this Court grant their motion and enlarge the time for their response to plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 27) to May 11, 2018.

DATED this 25th day of April, 2018.

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General

By:

Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada

Bureau of Litigation **Public Safety Division**

Attorneys for Defendant

S SO ORA

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 3:16-cv-00644-MMD-VPC Document 29 Filed 04/25/18 Page 3 of 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that on this 25th day of April, 2018, I caused to be deposited for mailing a true and correct copy of the forgoing, MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CORRECT, to the following:

Rickie L. Hill #87052 Core Civic/Saguaro Correctional Center 1252 E. Arica Road Eloy, AZ 85131

An employee of the

Office of the Attorney General